MR. WILLIAM MARQUISE JOHNSON #235820 MOUND CORRECTIONAL FACILITY 17601 MOUND ROAD DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48212

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT CLERK'S OFFICE P.O. BOX 30052 LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909



RE: Proposed Amendments to Court Rules Supreme Court ADM file No. 2003-04

Dear Clerk,

In the tradition of the Constitution of Michigan, Art VI, subsection 1, providing that the courts in Michigan are to be courts of "justice", I'm writing to object to the proposed Amendments to:

MCR 2.511 (F); MCR 6.001 (E); MCR 6.004 (C); MCR 6.004 (D); MCR 6.006; MCR 6.110 (B); MCR 6.110 (C); MCR 6.110 (D); MCR 6.112 (G); MCR 6.113 (D); MCR 6.302 (B)(3); MCR 6.414 (H); MCR 6.501 (A); MCR 6.502 (C); MCR 6.502 (C); MCR 6.502 (G); MCR 6.504 (A) and MCR 6.508 (E).

To limit prisoners filing a Motion For Relief Of Judgment to one year, as proposed in MCR 6.508 (E), would be demanding that they live up to a standard that takes attorneys years of schooling to achieve. Most prisoners are illiterate to the basic academics of a G.E.D. This would be unjust as many who go to school for law don't pass the Bar Exam.

In all other regards to the proposed amendments I agree with the elaborations of attorney James Sterling Lawrence in his letter to the Court as well as the comments of Lorenzo Harrell MDOC prisoner number 234312 on this subject. Lastly, I would state that none of the proposed amendments seem to coincide with the intention of the Court when putting this committee together. This intention was, "survey relevant Michigan Court Rules and examine proposed amendments to determine whether revisions or new provisions are warranted due to changes in the law, to clarify existing law, or to improve the delivery of justice." (Emphasis added) The proposed amendments doesn't seem to live up to this request, but instead seems to be a futuristic attempt to legally uphold injustices that has been recognized to be such by this Court in the past.

Respectfully Submitted,

William Johnson #235820 April 14, 2004